

低用量アスピリンは推奨されない

AAA：無症候性血管イベントを有する患者に対するルーチンのアスピリン投与にエビデンスはない

AAA: No evidence for the routine use of aspirin in people with asymptomatic vascular events

無症候性の患者に対する血管イベント一次予防目的のアスピリン投与は支持されないとのAAA (Aspirin for Asymptomatic Atherosclerosis: 無症候性動脈硬化患者に対するアスピリン) スタディの結果がESC 2009ホットラインセッションで発表された。このスタディは、足関節上腕血圧比 (ABI) 低値から無症候性動脈硬化症と考えられた患者に対するアスピリンの効果の評価のためにデザインされた初めてのプラセボコントロール無作為化試験である。臨床的に明らかな心血管疾患のない、スコットランド中部の50~75歳の男女28,980人にABIスクリーニング検査を施行した。ABI低値 (3,350人、ABI \leq 0.95) の患者がトライアルに組み入れられ、1日100mgのアスピリンまたはプラセボを内服する群に無作為に割り付けられた。平均8.2年後の一次エンドポイント (致死性または非致死性の初回冠動脈イベントまたは脳卒中の合計、または血行再建術) はアスピリン群とプラセボ群とで統計学的有意差はなかった (HR 1.03, 95%CI 0.84~1.27)。同様に、二次エンドポイント (一次エンドポイントの合計または狭心症、間欠性跛行または一過性脳虚血発作で定義した初回結果イベントおよび総死亡率) も両群間で有意差はなかった。

Full Text

The routine use of aspirin for the primary prevention of vascular events in people with asymptomatic disease cannot be supported, according to results from the Aspirin for Asymptomatic Atherosclerosis (AAA) study. The study is the first placebo-controlled randomized trial designed to determine the effect of aspirin in asymptomatic atherosclerosis as reflected by a low ankle brachial index (ABI). Results found no statistically significant difference in primary endpoint events between those subjects allocated to aspirin or placebo (HR 1.03, 95% CI 0.84-1.27).

Joint first author Professor Gerry Fowkes from the Wolfson Unit for Prevention of Peripheral Vascular Diseases in Edinburgh said: "It is possible that in the general population, aspirin could produce a smaller reduction in vascular events than this trial was designed to detect, but it is questionable whether such an effect, together with aspirin related morbidity, would justify the additional resources and health care requirements of an ABI screening program."

The benefits of antiplatelet therapy in the prevention of future cardio- and cerebrovascular events is well established in patients with a clinical history of arterial vascular disease. However, evidence in primary prevention is limited with studies suggesting that any benefit of aspirin must be weighed against the risk of bleeding. The aim of the AAA trial was to determine the effectiveness of aspirin in preventing events in people with asymptomatic atherosclerosis detected by ABI screening.

The study recruited 28,980 men and women aged 50 to 75 years who were free of clinically evident cardiovascular disease in central Scotland; all were given an ABI screening test. Those with a low ABI (3350 subjects, \leq 0.95 ABI) were entered into the trial and randomized to once daily 100 mg aspirin or placebo. Participants were followed for a mean of 8.2 years and outcomes ascertained by annual contact, general practitioner records, linkage to discharges from Scottish hospitals, and death notification. The primary endpoint was a composite of initial fatal or non-fatal coronary event or stroke, or revascularization. There were two secondary endpoints: all initial vascular events defined as a composite of a primary endpoint event or angina, intermittent claudication or transient ischemic attack and all-cause mortality.

Results showed that 357 participants had a primary endpoint event (13.5 per 1000 person years, 95%CI 12.2-15.0), 181 in the aspirin group and 176 in the placebo group. A vascular event comprising the secondary endpoint occurred in 578 participants, but again no statistically significant difference was found between the aspirin and placebo groups (288 vs. 290 events). All-cause mortality was similar in both groups (176 v 186 deaths). An initial event of major bleeding requiring admission to hospital occurred in 34 (2%) of subjects in the aspirin group and 20 (1.2%) in the placebo group.

Commenting on the results (and on the use of ABI as a screening method), Professor Fowkes said: "Although the AAA trial was not of screening per se, the results would suggest that using the ABI as a tool to screen individuals free of cardiovascular disease in the community is unlikely to be beneficial if aspirin is the intervention to be used in those found to be at higher risk. Other more potent antiplatelets might be considered, but only if increased effectiveness in avoiding ischemic events is not matched by increased bleeding."

Conference News

[News Flash 01]

クロピドグレルを凌ぐticagrelorの有益性

[News Flash 02]

心房細動においてdabigatranはワルファリンよりもより有効である

[News Flash 03]

低用量アスピリンは推奨されない

[News Flash 04]

ACSに対するotamixabanの有効性の複合結果

[News Flash 05]

遠隔地患者に対するPCIのための移送有益性

[News Flash 06]

高齢者には初期治療としてのPCIは血栓溶解療法よりも有効性が高い

[News Flash 07]

バルサルタンはアジア人の高血圧患者に有益性をもたらす

[News Flash 08]

薬剤溶出ステントの安全性が明らかになった

[News Flash 09]

左冠動脈主幹部病変の治療には近年PCIが多く施行されている

[News Flash 10]

Rofloxylineは急性心不全に効果がなかった

[News Flash 11]

心原性ショックを伴ったAMI患者におけるabciximabの効果は失望させられる結果であった

[News Flash 12]

中等量の飲酒はAFのリスクを上昇させない

[News Flash 13]

高用量のクロピドグレルはPCIの合併症を減少させる

[News Flash 14]

再同期療法により軽症の無症状患者の心不全リスクが軽減する

[News Flash 15]

ヨーロッパの循環器医は心臓再同期療法の有効性を確信している

[News Flash 16]

イルベサルタンは心房細動患者の心不全発症を減少させる

[News Flash 17]

ICDの遠隔調査の効果

[News Flash 18]

糖尿病患者の非侵襲的リスク同定