冠動脈石灰化は冠動脈リスクの優れた予測因子である(AHA 2018)

冠動脈石灰化レベルは冠動脈疾患リスク患者の優れた予測因子である
Coronary calcium levels a better predictor of patients at risk for coronary heart disease

American Heart Association Scientific Session 2018で発表された新たなスタディの結果、冠動脈石灰化レベルを検査することは、今日の臨床で用いられている標準的なリスク評価式よりも、心筋梗塞のリスクである冠動脈狭窄および血行再建術の必要性を予測する優れた予測因子であることが明らかにされた。冠動脈石灰化計測値(MESAスコアおよび冠動脈石灰化リスクスコアなど)を含む計算式は、年齢、性別、血圧、およびコレステロール計測値などの標準的なリスクファクターのみに依存するPooled Cohort Equationよりも血行再建術を要する症候性冠動脈疾患の存在を予測する能力が優れていた。

Full Text

A new study presented at the American Heart Association Scientific Session 2018 conference found that testing a patient's coronary calcium levels is a better predictor of blocked coronary arteries at risk for a myocardial infarction (MI) and the need for revascularization than standard risk-assessment equations used in medical practice today.

"With coronary calcium, we're looking at a marker indicating the actual presence of anatomic disease – we're not just looking at probabilities of disease based on a patient's standard risk factors," said Jeffrey L. Anderson, MD, a cardiologist and cardiovascular researcher at the Intermountain Medical Center Heart Institute in Salt Lake City, Utah. "The risk factors are worth knowing, but they don't tell whether or not you actually have the disease."

In the new study, researchers at the Intermountain Medical Center Heart Institute identified 1,107 symptomatic patients who presented to the healthcare system without any known coronary artery disease and who had a PET-stress test to measure coronary flow, conducted as part of their diagnostic evaluation.

The PET/CT test also enabled a coronary calcium score to be measured. Based on the coronary calcium score and standard risk factors documented in their medical records, three different atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk scores were calculated: the standard Pooled Cohort Equation (based on traditional risk factors), the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) Risk Score (which combines coronary calcium and traditional risk factors), and the Coronary Calcium Score alone

Researchers tracked those patients to identify who, based on PET scan results suggesting a blocked artery, went on to revascularization and who had a subsequent MI or died during the subsequent two years.

They found that risk equations that included coronary artery calcium measurements, i.e., the MESA Score and the Coronary Calcium Risk Score, were better able to predict the presence of symptomatic coronary artery disease requiring revascularization than the Pooled Cohort Equation, which relies only on standard risk factors such as age, gender, blood pressure, and cholesterol measurements.

However, after the PET-scan results were acted upon, all three equations were only moderately successful in determining who over two years of follow-up would go on to die or have a heart attack. Noteworthy though was that of the 29 patients who showed no coronary artery calcium, none had any major heart problems in the time-period tracked.

Researchers presented results from the study at the American Heart Association's 2018 Scientific Session in Chicago.

"Calcium in the artery doesn't tell you the extent of soft plaque, but it does mark that disease is present," Dr. Anderson said. "These results tell us that coronary calcium adds importantly to probability estimates."

He also said the cost of coronary calcium screening is low, in the range of $100 or less, and should be considered in the future as part of routine medical care after age 50 for men and 55-60 for women.

"We accept that mammograms should be done for women and colonoscopies should be done for everybody at a certain age, and they're much more expensive than a calcium scan," he said.

Dr. Anderson hopes the findings lead to coronary calcium tests becoming more accepted as a means to better predict who is at coronary risk, which not only will get high-risk patients into treatment earlier, but also keep patients who aren't truly at risk from being overtreated.