

MI直後にすべての閉塞動脈を治療することによる有益性 (Abstract 17-LB-16019)

COMPARE-ACUTE: スタディの結果、心筋梗塞の直後にすべての閉塞した動脈を治療することの有益性が示された

COMPARE-ACUTE: Study shows benefits to treating all blocked arteries at once after myocardial infarction

ST上昇型心筋梗塞(STEMI)後に評価し妥当であれば、すべての閉塞した動脈を治療することにより患者の予後が改善しその後の侵襲的施術の必要性を軽減でき得る、とAmerican College of Cardiology's 66th Annual Scientific Session で発表された。主治医がMI責任病変の狭窄のみを治療した患者に比べ、FFRガイド下評価を受けすべての動脈を治療された患者は、この臨床試験の一次エンドポイントである総死亡、非致死性MI、脳卒中およびその後12か月の血行再建術から成る複合エンドポイントを発現する確率が、65%低かった。このCOMPARE-ACUTE試験の結果は、ACCにおける発表と同時に*New England Journal of Medicine*に掲載された。

Full Text

Patients experiencing an ST-elevated myocardial infarction (STEMI) often have more than one clogged artery, but under current guidelines doctors typically only clear the blockage responsible for the heart attack. Assessing and, when warranted, treating the additional blockages can improve patient outcomes and reduce the need for subsequent invasive procedures, according to research presented at the American College of Cardiology's 66th Annual Scientific Session.

The study's findings are in line with previous studies pointing to benefits of a more comprehensive treatment approach after a STEMI, but it is the first randomized clinical trial in which doctors have used the newer diagnostic tool fractional flow reserve (FFR) to precisely assess secondary blockages. Compared with patients in whom doctors treated only the blockage that caused the MI, patients who received FFR-guided evaluation and treatment of all arteries were 65 percent less likely to experience the trial's primary endpoint, a composite of all-cause mortality, non-fatal heart attack, stroke and subsequent revascularization at 12 months.

"Our study shows you can optimize treatment with this approach and potentially also have economic benefits by reducing the need for extra procedures," said Pieter Smits, MD, a cardiologist at Maasstad Ziekenhuis, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, and the study's lead author. "For the patient, it's a tremendous advantage to know that you have been treated for the artery that brought you to the hospital but also that any other issues have already been investigated and treated if needed. This way the patient won't need to be brought back to the hospital later on and again be put at risk with an invasive procedure or additional diagnostics."

Medical guidelines currently recommend performing PCI on the infarct-related artery after STEMI and leaving the other arteries alone, treating them later if subsequent tests or symptoms indicate they are substantially blocked by atherosclerotic lesions. Smits and his colleagues sought to investigate whether FFR could offer an opportunity to improve outcomes by refining doctors' ability to identify problematic lesions immediately after successful initial PCI. Because it is based on precise measures of blood pressure near lesions, FFR provides a much more accurate assessment of blockages than was previously possible with angiogram alone.

The researchers enrolled 885 STEMI patients at 24 sites in 12 countries in Europe and Asia. Immediately after the infarct-related artery was cleared using PCI, stable patients were randomly assigned to receive FFR-guided assessment of other arteries but no additional PCI (infarct-only revascularization, performed in 590 patients) or FFR-guided assessment and, when indicated by an FFR score of 0.80 or lower, PCI to clear additional lesions (complete revascularization, performed in 295 patients).

The primary composite endpoint occurred in 20.5 percent of patients receiving infarct-only revascularization and 7.8 percent of patients receiving FFR-guided complete revascularization, a difference that was statistically significant. When the components of the composite primary endpoint were analyzed separately, there was no significant difference in the rates of all-cause mortality, non-fatal heart attack or stroke; however, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of subsequent revascularization procedures among patients randomized to receive complete revascularization. All non-urgent revascularization procedures performed within the first 45 days after the initial PCI based on symptoms or stress tests were excluded from this analysis to avoid biasing the results in favor of complete revascularization.

When assessing the lesions other than the one responsible for the STEMI, the researchers found that only about half of these lesions were constricted enough to require treatment. Together, these results suggest that treating non-infarct related lesions is beneficial and that FFR can help clinicians to precisely identify those lesions in need of treatment.

"The results show that using FFR in the acute phase of STEMI, which was never done before, is feasible and safe," Smits said. "Furthermore, FFR-guided complete revascularization allows you to fine-tune the treatment and get better outcome results."

One downside of performing complete revascularization after initial PCI is that doing so increases the complexity of the procedure. However, the results showed that procedures in the complete revascularization arm were on average just six minutes longer than the procedures in the infarct-only revascularization arm, a difference Smits said is relatively minor and likely outweighed by the increased need for subsequent revascularization among those receiving infarct-only revascularization in the initial procedure.

One limitation is that the study struggled with slow enrollment, in part because some participating centers were only able to enroll patients during certain hours of the day or week and because the trial excluded patients who were in shock or unstable, a relatively frequent occurrence with STEMI. The study enrolled patients from 2011 through 2015.

Another limitation is that the study was not large enough to reveal statistically significant differences in all-cause mortality or subsequent heart attacks. A larger study, currently underway, is expected to shed light on these outcomes. In addition, Smits and his colleagues plan to conduct a further analysis of the cost implications of performing infarct-only revascularization versus complete revascularization after STEMI.

The trial, called COMPARE-ACUTE, was funded by two unrestricted grants from Abbott Vascular and St. Jude Medical.

This study was simultaneously published online in the *New England Journal of Medicine* at the time of presentation.

ACC2017特集

[News01]

エボロクマブは心血管イベントを有意に低下させた関連付ける

[News02]

自己拡張型経カテーテル的大動脈弁置換術(TAVR)は中等度リスクの患者に適している

[News03]

NSAIDに加えてミソプロストールを服用することにより心血管系リスクが低下する

[News04]

手首装着型心拍計は胸部装着型よりも正確さに欠ける

[News05]

ホルモン補充療法は死亡率が低いことと関連がある

[News06]

MI後の睡眠時無呼吸スクリーニングに最適な時期が調査された

[News07]

運動歴は乳がん後の心疾患予防に役立つ

[News08]

うつ病はMIまたは狭心症後の死亡リスクを倍増させる

[News09]

MI直後にすべての閉塞動脈を治療することによる有益性

[News10]

リバーロキサバンはアスピリンに比べVTE再発を軽減する

[News11]

CTスキャンは大動脈弁置換術後の弁尖の動きの低下を可視化する

[News12]

BococizumabによるPCSK9阻害による結果は様々である

[News13]

TAVRは微小出血および神経学的障害と関連がある

[News14]

スタチン服用患者においてエボロクマブは認知機能に影響しない

[News15]

左心耳閉鎖術は脳卒中リスクを低下させる

[News16]

ペースメーカープログラムは意識消失発作を減少させる

[News17]

心房細動患者においてジゴキシンにより死亡リスクは上昇する

[News18]

新規抗凝固薬は心房細動に対するアブレーション中の大出血を軽減する

[News19]

血液検査により非心臓手術後の心損傷が検出できる

[News20]

ウェブベースのカウンセリングは血圧を低下させる